## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 JUAN LUNA, Case No. 2:24-cv-01199-JAD-EJY 5 Plaintiff, REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 6 v. 7 LINDA MOOD, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 On October 23, 2024, the Court entered an Order requiring Plaintiff to update his address in 11 accordance with Local Rule IA 3-1. ECF No. 13. The Court gave Plaintiff through and including 12 November 22, 2024 to comply with the Order. *Id*. The Court explained that failure to comply with 13 the terms of the Court's Order would result in a recommendation to dismiss this case without 14 prejudice. Id. The Court's mail to Plaintiff was returned undeliverable on November 5, 2024. ECF 15 No. 15. As of today's date, Plaintiff has not updated his address or otherwise communicated with 16 the Court. A court may dismiss an action based on a party's failure to prosecute an action or failure 17 to obey a court order. *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992). 18 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this matter be dismissed without 19 prejudice in its entirety. 20 DATED this 4th day of December, 2024. 21 22 23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## NOTICE

24

25

26

27

28

Under Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days. The Supreme Court holds that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file

objections within the specified time. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). The Ninth Circuit also holds that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time, and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). 

Document 17

Filed 12/04/24

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-01199-JAD-EJY